Differential Response of Amygdaloid Neurons to Clozapine and Haloperidol: Effects of Repeated Administration

GLENN D. ANDERSON AND GEORGE V. REBEC¹

Department of Psychology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405

Received 19 August 1985

ANDERSON, G. D. AND G. V. REBEC. Differential response of amygdaloid neurons to clozapine and haloperidol: Effects of repeated administration. PHARMACOL BIOCHEM BEHAV 24(6) 1561–1566, 1986.—Rats were pretreated with saline or with behaviorally equivalent doses of clozapine (10.0 mg/kg) or haloperidol (1.0 mg/kg) twice daily for six consecutive days. On the following day, amygdaloid neurons in clozapine-pretreated rats responded to a challenge injection of this drug with a significantly greater increase in firing rate than saline controls. In contrast, amygdaloid neurons generally remained unresponsive to haloperidol even when pretreatment with this drug was extended to 13 days. Neither clozapine nor haloperidol pretreatment, however, altered the response of amygdaloid neurons to d-amphetamine administered after a four-day washout period. Amphetamine inhibited amygdaloid activity to a comparable extent in all rats. Taken together, these results implicate the amygdaloid complex as an important site of action of clozapine and related antischizophrenic drugs.

Amygdaloid complex

Clozapine

Haloperidol Repeated administration

Amphetamine Unit activity

ALTHOUGH both clozapine and haloperidol reduce schizophrenic symptoms, a large body of evidence suggests that these drugs do not share the same mechanisms of action. Clinically, clozapine is administered at doses 10-20 times higher than those of haloperidol, yet haloperidol is much more likely to produce motor side effects [1, 2, 7, 16, 41]. In fact, clozapine is virtually devoid of the parkinsonian symptoms commonly associated with haloperidol. Other symptom differences have been reported, including an anxiolytic action of clozapine not shared by haloperidol and related compounds [10, 15, 42]. Experimental animals also respond differently to these drugs. Rats treated with haloperidol display muscular rigidity and catalepsy, but clozapine fails to produce such effects even at relatively high doses [38,47]. Moreover, despite their antischizophrenic action, these drugs exert different effects on the behavioral response to amphetamine, which has been used as an animal model of both drug-induced and idiopathic paranoid psychosis [21,28]. Haloperidol, for example, virtually abolishes the amphetamine response, whereas clozapine has been reported to attenuate some of the behavioral effects of amphetamine [14,37] and to enhance others [36].

Data obtained in our laboratory indicate that the amygdaloid complex is a promising site for research designed to elucidate the neuronal mechanisms underlying the differential actions of these antischizophrenic drugs [27,31]. Recordings from more than 90 neurons in the amygdaloid complex of the rat have shown that whereas 60% of these cells increase their firing rate to clinically relevant doses of clozapine (10.0-20.0 mg/kg), less than 15% respond to comparable doses of haloperidol (1.0-2.0 mg/kg). Moreover, clozapine, but not haloperidol, reverses the depression of amygdaloid activity produced by amphetamine [26]. Thus, even at doses known to elicit dramatic behavioral and therapeutic effects, amygdaloid neurons are largely unresponsive to haloperidol but generally excited by clozapine.

In clinical settings, these drugs are administered for prolonged periods. In fact, maximal therapeutic effects often are not achieved unless drug therapy persists for several days or weeks [1, 24, 25, 44, 46]. Although an increasing number of studies have focused on this aspect of antipsychotic treatment, no information is available on the effects of long-term administration in the amygdaloid complex. In the present series of experiments, therefore, we extended our single-unit recordings to examine the effects of multiple injections of clozapine and haloperidol using clinically relevant doses that, when administered acutely, had significantly different effects on amygdaloid activity. We were interested in determining if these differences persist with long-term treatment and if they are associated with a change in the sensitivity of amygdaloid neurons to amphetamine.

METHOD

Experiments were conducted on 78 male, Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing between 250-400 g. The animals,

Requests for reprints should be addressed to George V. Rebec.



FIG. 1. Percent of amygdaloid neurons responding with an increase in firing rate to a challenge injection (IP) of 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol or 10.0 mg/kg clozapine. Note that although clozapine accelerates a greater proportion of amygdaloid units than haloperidol, 6-day pretreatment does not alter the number of neurons responding to either drug.

housed individually under standard laboratory conditions, were removed from their cages twice daily for six days to receive intraperitoneal (IP) injections of 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol (McNeil), 10.0 mg/kg clozapine (Sandoz), or an equivalent volume of saline. The clozapine vehicle has been shown elsewhere to exert no effect on neuronal activity [27]. In some cases, animals received twice daily injections of 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol for 13 days.

Following a withdrawal period of 1 or 4 days, the animals were prepared for single-unit recording. Surgical and anesthetic procedures were performed as described previously [17, 29, 34]. Tubocurarine chloride (Lilly) was administered (IP) to relax all skeletal muscles. Artificial respiration, provided by a rubber cone fitted snugly over the snout and attached to a small animal respirator, was adjusted to maintain an end-tidal carbon dioxide content of $4.0\pm0.5\%$ as measured by a Beckman Medical Gas Analyzer. Heartbeat was displayed continuously on an oscilloscope, and body temperature was maintained at $37\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C.

Tungsten microelectrodes, having an impedance of approximately 10 megohms, were lowered bilaterally into the amygdaloid complex according to the coordinates of König and Klippel [18]: 4.5 mm anterior and 4.75 mm lateral to stereotaxic zero and 7.0 mm ventral to the dural surface. Neuronal activity, recorded from both hemispheres, was amplified and displayed by conventional means. Single-unit discharges, having a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1 or more, were counted by a neuronal spike analyzer in conjunction with a high-speed printer-counter. Spontaneous firing rates were recorded for at least 30 min to insure a stable level of baseline activity.

During single-unit recording on the first withdrawal day, rats were challenged with the same antipsychotic drug that they received during the 6- or 13-day treatment phase; saline controls received either 1.0 m/kg haloperidol or 10.0 mg/kg clozapine. Each drug was administered via a catheter implanted in the peritoneal cavity. Rats that had been with-



FIG. 2. Mean peak firing rate after an IP injection of 10.0 mg/kg clozapine, expressed as percentage of the 100% baseline rate. Note the significant enhancement of the response following 6-day pre-treatment.

drawn from treatment for 4 days were challenged with d-amphetamine sulfate (Smith, Kline and French). These animals were implanted with an intravenous (IV) catheter and, following the isolation of single-unit discharges, received IV injections of 0.2 mg/kg d-amphetamine (free base) at 2-min intervals.

In each case, the baseline firing rate was calculated during the 20-min period immediately preceding the drug injection and was defined as 100%. Drug-induced changes in firing rate were expressed in terms of the 100% baseline rate for each neuron sampled. Unit activity that failed to maintain a constant signal-to-noise ratio or that did not return to within at least 50% of the baseline rate was excluded from further analysis; without such a precaution it would be impossible to rule out cellular injury, electrode drift, or other non-drug factors that could influence our results during a prolonged recording session.

Upon completion of each experiment, each animal received a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital (Abbott), and the accuracy of the injection was verified by administering methylene blue through the catheters and inspecting each injection site. To mark the recording sites, current was passed through each electrode to make a small lesion. Following a transcardial perfusion with normal saline and 10% formalin, the brain was frozen, sectioned, and stained with cresyl violet for histological analysis.



FIG. 3. Illustrative examples of the excitatory response to 10.0 mg/kg clozapine in saline- and clozapine-pretreated rats. Neuronal activity is plotted at 5-min intervals as a percentage of the 100% baseline rate. Although the onset and duration of the response are comparable in both rats, the magnitude is dramatically enhanced following chronic treatment.

RESULTS

Data were obtained from a total of 105 amygdaloid neurons located in the central nucleus (n=28), the corticomedial complex (n=52), and the basolateral complex (n=25). Units in all areas displayed a wide range of firing rates but no regional differences were observed. The mean baseline rate of all neurons sampled was 205 ± 26 discharges/min, and there were no differences in mean firing rate across treatment groups.

Neuronal Responses to Clozapine or Haloperidol

We have shown previously that whereas an acute injection of clozapine accelerates the firing rate of 26 of 43 amygdaloid neurons by more than 150% above the baseline rate, only 6 of 49 cells respond to haloperidol [27]. We replicated these findings in saline controls and then examined the effects of multiple drug injections. As shown in Fig. 1, the percentage of neurons excited by 10.0 mg/kg clozapine or 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol did not change with long-term treatment. Thus, whereas approximately 60% of amygdaloid neurons were excited by clozapine, only 15% showed a similar response to haloperidol.

In all cases, the clozapine-induced increases in firing rate began between 5 and 20 min after the IP injection and persisted for approximately 150 min. Neither the onset nor the

 TABLE 1

 EFFECTS OF PRETREATMENT WITH ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS ON THE NEURONAL RESPONSE TO IV d-AMPHETAMINE IN THE AMYGDALOID COMPLEX

Pretreatment	Inhibition by d-Amphetamine	
	Number of Cells	ED50*
Saline	7 of 13	0.66 (0.08)
Clozapine	15 of 22	0.80 (0.06)
Haloperidol	10 of 21	0.64 (0.08)

*Mean effective dose (mg/kg) of d-amphetamine (IV) for producing a 50% inhibition of firing rate; numbers in parentheses refer to the standard error of the mean.

offset of this response changed significantly with multiple injections. As shown in Fig. 2, however, the magnitude of the increase was enhanced markedly. In fact, statistical analysis revealed a significant effect of clozapine pretreatment both with the present data alone, t(7)=1.90; p<0.05, and with the present data combined with our previous data [26] on acute increases to clozapine, t(17)=2.95; p<0.005. Thus, consistent with previous reports [27,28], the acute response approached 300% of the baseline rate and never exceeded 500%, whereas multiple clozapine injections typically doubled this response; in fact, some responses exceeded 1000% of the baseline rate. Representative examples of the increase to acute and chronic clozapine are shown in Fig. 3. Note the clear difference in response magnitude despite comparable onset and offset times.

Unlike clozapine, haloperidol produced no noticeable differences in amygdaloid activity with multiple injections. Thus, the large majority of neurons (15 of 17) failed to respond; firing rate did not deviate by more than 50% from the baseline rate at any time after the injection. In those two cases in which an increase in firing rate was observed in the chronic group, neither the onset, offset, nor magnitude of the response differed from the single excitation observed in the control group. In fact, no differences were observed even when rats were pretreated with haloperidol for 13 days. In this group, 2 of 8 neurons were excited by the drug and, again, the parameters of this response resembled those obtained from the control excitation; the remaining neurons in this sample were unresponsive.

As we have reported previously [26], some neurons were inhibited, rather than excited, by clozapine. However, only 3 such responses were recorded from both groups combined, making it impossible to determine if this response changed with chronic treatment. No inhibitions were recorded in response to haloperidol.

Neuronal Responses to Amphetamine

Separate groups of animals were treated for 6 days with saline, clozapine, or haloperidol as described above, and challenged 4 days later with IV injections of 0.2 mg/kg d-amphetamine separated by 2-min intervals. The amphetamine injections continued until firing rate decreased by at least 50% from the baseline rate or until the cumulative dose reached 1.2 mg/kg, whichever came first. In all groups, the characteristic response to this drug was an inhibition of firing rate between the second and sixth injections. Both the mean effective dose for inhibiting neuronal activity and the number of neurons that showed this response were unaffected by pretreatment with either clozapine or haloperidol. These data are presented in Table 1.

Note that in all groups some amygdaloid neurons failed to respond to amphetamine. The number of unresponsive cells, however, did not change significantly with pretreatment. In no case did amphetamine increase amygdaloid activity.

DISCUSSION

Our results confirm and extend our previous finding that IP injections of clozapine, but not haloperidol, regularly accelerate the firing rate of neurons in the amygdaloid complex. With repeated administration, these differences become even more pronounced: the magnitude of the clozapine response is enhanced, whereas haloperidol remains largely without effect. These results are especially surprising since both behaviorally and clinically our dose of haloperidol equals or exceeds our dose of clozapine. It is unlikely that our results are unique to these doses since amygdaloid neurons are differentially responsive to acute injections of clozapine and haloperidol over a wide dose range [26,31]. Moreover, the number of neurons accelerated by these drugs was not altered with chronic treatment; only the magnitude of the response to clozapine changed, suggesting that rather than recruit progressively more neurons, multiple injections of this drug simply exert a greater effect on the population of cells that respond to acute administration. It also is unlikely that the enhanced excitation reflects a change in the pharmacokinetics or metabolism of the drug since neither the onset nor the duration of the response changed significantly with repeated injections.

Our results also revealed that pretreatment with neither clozapine nor haloperidol had an effect on the neuronal response to amphetamine. This finding is surprising since an acute injection of clozapine, but not haloperidol, is very effective in reversing the amphetamine-induced depression of amygdaloid activity [26,32]. Moreover, in behavioral tests, the amphetamine response is enhanced in rats withdrawn from antipsychotic drugs that block this response acutely [33]. The potentiation of the amphetamine behavioral response presumably reflects a compensatory increase in the sensitivity of receptors blocked by the antipsychotic drugs [39]. Thus, to the extent that clozapine blocks amygdaloid receptors that are influenced by amphetamine, one would expect a rebound supersensitivity to this drug in the amygdaloid complex of clozapine-pretreated rats. That no such effect was observed argues against an effect of clozapine on the same neuronal systems that respond to amphetamine. In fact, clozapine may reverse the amphetamine neuronal response in the amygdala via a glutaminergic or other independent neuronal system (e.g., [40]) that, when activated, simply masks the amphetamine-induced depression.

The four-day withdrawal period should be sufficient time for both clozapine and haloperidol to be cleared from the system, making it unlikely that our results with amphetamine reflect some residual interaction between this drug and the antipsychotics. In fact, the behavioral response to amphetamine is significantly enhanced in rats withdrawn from haloperidol pretreatment for only 2 days, and this effect persists for at least one week [33]. Thus, the failure of multiple injections of haloperidol to produce any change in the response of amygdaloid neurons to amphetamine suggests that the behavioral supersensitivity that accompanies long-term treatment with haloperidol cannot be explained by a direct action on neurons in this structure.

Neurons that are responsive to clozapine appear to be located throughout the amygdaloid complex. Approximately equal numbers of clozapine-induced excitations have been reported in the basolateral, central and corticomedial amygdaloid nuclear groups [26,27]. Similarly, our histological analysis of the excitations that occured in rats pretreated with the drug revealed no regional differences. Such uniformity may seem surprising in view of the heterogenous distribution of suspected neurotransmitters within the amygdaloid complex. Clozapine, however, has been reported to be an effective antagonist of cholinergic [38,43], noradrenergic [3,5], and serotonergic [13, 19, 20] receptors, all of which have been identified in the amygdala [4, 6, 12, 22]. Moreover, some amygdaloid neurons receive dopaminergic input [4,6] and, although less potent than haloperidol, clozapine also blocks dopaminergic transmission [8, 9, 39]. The actions of clozapine in the amygdaloid complex, therefore, might be explained best by an interaction among several different neuronal systems (e.g., [35]).

Haloperidol, on the other hand, is a potent blocker of dopaminergic receptors with considerably less affinity for other receptor sites [23, 37, 39, 43]. Thus, the large number of amygdaloid neurons that fail to change their firing rate in response to this drug is surprising since over 60% of these cells are known to respond to dopamine agonists [29,32].

Our results support a growing body of evidence that the differential actions of clozapine and haloperidol are enhanced with chronic treatment [11, 27, 33, 45]. That this enhancement occurs in the amygdala is especially significant since this appears to be the only forebrain site that is differentially responsive to an acute injection of these compounds. Our results also suggest, however, that clozapine is acting independently of the mechanisms that are responsible for the amphetamine-induced inhibition in this site. Finally, our results may extend to a wide range of antischizophrenic drugs that, like clozapine, are devoid of motor side effects. We already have shown that an acute injection of thioridazine, which mimics the behavioral and clinical profile of clozapine, also accelerates amygdaloid activity, whereas pimozide, a haloperidol-like antischizophrenic agent, is without effect [27,28]. It seems likely, therefore, that the amygdaloid complex will become an important site for further investigations of the neuronal systems underlying the effects of long-term treatment with clozapine and related antischizophrenic drugs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported, in part, by USPHS Grants DA-02451 and RR-7031 (G.V.R) and by an NSF Predoctoral Fellowship (G.D.A). The authors thank Doris Batson for expert histological analysis and Suzanne Hull for preparing the illustrations. Sandoz Pharmaceuticals (East Hanover, NJ) provided a generous supply of clozapine, and Smith, Kline and French (Philadelphia, PA) contributed the d-amphetamine sulfate.

ENHANCED RESPONSE TO CLOZAPINE

REFERENCES

- 1. Baldessarini, R. J. In: *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, edited by A. G. Gilman, L. S. Goodman and A. Gilman. New York: Macmillan, 1980, p. 391.
- Baldessarini, R. J. and D. Tarsy. Dopamine and the pathophysiology of dyskinesias induced by antipsychotic drugs. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 3: 23-41, 1980.
- Bartholini, G., H. H. Keller and A. Pletscher. The effect of neuroleptics on endogenous norepinephrine in rat brain. *Neuropharmacology* 12: 751-754, 1973.
- Ben-Ari, Y., R. E. Zigmond and K. E. Moore. Regional distribution of tyrosine hydroxylase, norepinephrine and dopamine within the amygdaloid complex of the rat. *Brain Res* 87: 96-101, 1975.
- Blumberg, J. B., J. Vetulani, R. J. Stawarz and F. Sulser. The noradrenergic cyclic AMP generating system in the limbic forebrain: Pharmacological characterization *in vitro* and possible role of limbic noradrenergic mechanisms in the mode of action of antipsychotics. *Eur J Pharmacol* 37: 357-366, 1976.
- Brownstein, M., J. M. Saavedra and M. Palkovits. Norepinephrine and dopamine in the limbic system of the rat. Brain Res 79: 431-436, 1974.
- 7. Burki, H. R. Extrapyramidal side-effects. *Pharmacol Ther* 5: 525-574, 1979.
- Burki, H. R., W. Ruch and H. Asper. Effects of clozapine, thioridazine, perlapine and haloperidol on the metabolism of the biogenic amines in the brain of the rat. *Psychopharmacologia* 41: 27-33, 1975.
- 9. Burki, H. R., W. Ruch, H. Asper, M. Bagglioni and G. Stille. Effects of single and repeated administration of clozapine on the metabolism of dopamine and noradrenaline in the brain of the rat. Eur J Pharmacol 27: 180-190, 1974.
- Caine, E. D. and R. J. Polinsky. Haloperidol-induced dysphoria in patients with Tourette syndrome. Am J Psychiatry 136: 1216-1217, 1979.
- 11. Chiodo, L. A. and B. S. Bunney. Typical and atypical neuroleptics: differential effects of chronic administration on the activity of A9 and A10 midbrain dopaminergic neurons. J Neurosci 3: 1607-1619, 1983.
- Emson, P. C., G. Paxinos, G. LeGal LaSalle, Y. Ben-Ari and A. Silver. Choline acetyltransferase and acetylcholinesterase containing projections from the basal forebrain to the amygdaloid complex of the rat. *Brain Res* 165: 271-282, 1979.
- Fink, H., R. Morgenstern and W. Oelssner. Clozapine—a serotonin antagonist? *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 20: 513–517, 1984.
- 14. Fog, R. Neuroleptic action of clozapine injected into various brain areas in rats. Int Pharmacopsychiatry 10: 89-93, 1975.
- Gelenberg, A. J. and J. C. Doller. Clozapine versus chlorpromazine for the treatment of schizophrenia: preliminary results from a double-blind study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 40: 238-240, 1979.
- Gerlach, J., K. Thorsen and R. Fog. Extrapyramidal reactions and amine metabolites in cerebrospinal fluid during haloperidol and clozapine treatment of schizophrenic patients. *Psychopharmacologia* 40: 341-350, 1975.
- Groves, P. M., G. V. Rebec and D. S. Segal. The action of d-amphetamine on spontaneous activity in the caudate nucleus and reticular formation of the rat. *Behav Biol* 11: 33-47, 1974.
- König, J. F. R. and R. A. Klippel. The Rat Brain: A Stereotaxic Atlas of the Forebrain and Lower Parts of the Brainstem. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1963.
- Leysen, J. E., C. J. E. Niemegeers, J. P. Tollenare and P. M. Laduron. Serotonergic component of neuroleptic receptors. *Nature* 272: 168-171, 1978.
- Leysen, J. E., C. J. E. Niemegeers, J. M. Van Neuten and P. M. Laduron. [³H]Ketanserin (R 41 468), a selective ³H-ligand for serotonin₂ receptor binding sites. *Mol Pharmacol* 21: 301-314, 1982.
- Meltzer, H. Y. and S. M. Stahl. The dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia: A review. Schizophr Bull 2: 19-76, 1976.

- Palkovits, M., J. M. Saavedra, D. M. Jacobowitz, J. S. Kizer, L. Zaborsky and M. J. Brownstein. Serotonergic innervation of the forebrain: effects of lesions on serotonin and tryptophan hydroxylase levels. *Brain Res* 130: 121-134, 1977.
- Peroutka, S. J., D. C. U'Prichard, D. A. Greenberg and S. H. Snyder. Neuroleptic drug interactions with norepinephrine alpha receptor binding sites in rat brain. *Neuropharmacology* 16: 549-556, 1977.
- Pickar, D., R. Labarca, M. Linnoila, A. Roy, D. Hommer, D. Everett and S. M. Paul. Neuroleptic-induced decrease in plasma homovanillic acid and antipsychotic activity in schizophrenic patients. *Science* 225: 954–956, 1984.
- Post, R. M. and F. K. Goodwin. Time-dependent effects of phenothiazines on dopamine turnover in psychiatric patients. *Science* 190: 488-489, 1975.
- Rebec, G. V., K. D. Alloway and T. R. Bashore. Differential actions of classical and atypical antipsychotic drugs on spontaneous neuronal activity in the amygdaloid complex. *Phar*macol Biochem Behav 14: 49-56, 1981.
- Rebec, G. V. and G. D. Anderson. Regional neuropharmacology of the antipsychotic drugs: Implications for the dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Behav Assess* 8: 11-29, 1986.
- Rebec, G. V. and T. R. Bashore. Critical issues in assessing the behavioral effects of amphetamine. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 8: 153-159, 1984.
- Rebec, G. V., T. R. Bashore, K. S. Zimmerman and K. D. Alloway. "Classical" and "atypical" antipsychotic drugs: Differential antagonism of amphetamine- and apomorphine-induced alterations of spontaneous neuronal activity in the neostriatum and nucleus accumbens. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 11: 529-538, 1979.
- Rebec, G. V., T. R. Bashore, K. S. Zimmerman and K. D. Alloway. Neostriatal and mesolimbic neurons: dose-dependent effects of clozapine. *Neuropharmacology* 19: 281-288, 1980.
- Rebec, G. V., J. Gelman, K. D. Alloway and T. R. Bashore. Cataleptogenic potency of the antipsychotic drugs is inversely correlated with neuronal activity in the amygdaloid complex of the rat. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 19: 759-763, 1983.
- Rebec, G. V. and E. H. Lee. Tolerance to amphetamineinduced inhibition of neuronal activity in the central amygdaloid nucleus. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 19: 219–223, 1983.
- 33. Rebec, G. V., E. E. Peirson, F. A. McPherson and K. Brugge. Differential sensitivity to amphetamine following long-term treatment with clozapine or haloperidol. *Psychopharmacology* (*Berlin*) 77: 360-366, 1982.
- 34. Rebec, G. V. and D. S. Segal. Dose-dependent biphasic alterations in the spontaneous activity of neurons in the rat neostriatum produced by d-amphetamine and methylphenidate. *Brain Res* 150: 353-366, 1978.
- Richardson, J. S. Basic concepts of psychopharmacological research as applied to the psychopharmacological analysis of the amygdala. Acta Neurobiol Exp 34: 543-562, 1974.
- Robertson, A. and C. MacDonald. Atypical neuroleptics clozapine and thioridazine enhance amphetamine-induced sterotypy. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 21: 97-101, 1984.
- Rollema, H., B. H. C. Westerink and C. J. Grol. Correlation between neuroleptic-induced suppression of stereotyped behaviour and HVA concentrations in rat brain. J Pharm Pharmacol 28: 321-323, 1976.
- Sayers, A. C., H. R. Burki, W. Ruch and H. Asper. Anticholinergic properties of antipsychotic drugs and their relation to extrapyramidal side effects. *Psychopharmacologia* 51: 15-22, 1975.
- 39. Seeman, P. Brain dopamine receptors. *Pharmacol Rev* 32: 229–313, 1981.
- Sherman, A. D. and J. Mott. Amphetamine stimulation of glutaminase is blocked by neuroleptics. *Life Sci* 36: 1163–1167, 1985.

- Shopsin, B., H. Klein, M. Aaronson and M. Collora. Clozapine, chlorpromazine, and placebo in newly hospitalized, acutely schizophrenic patients. Arch Gen Psychiatry 36: 657-664, 1979.
- 42. Simpson, G. M., J. H. Lee and R. K. Shrivastava. Clozapine in tardive dyskinesia. *Psychopharmacologia* 56: 75-80, 1975.
- 43. Snyder, S. H., D. Greenberg and H. I. Yamamura. Antischizophrenic drugs and brain cholinergic receptors: affinity for muscarinic sites predicts extrapyramidal effects. Arch Gen Psychiatry 31: 58-61, 1974.
- 44. Spohn, H. E., R. B. Lacoursiere, K. Thompson and L. Coyne. Phenothiazine effects on psychological and psychophysiological dysfunction in chronic schizophrenics. Arch Gen Psychiatry 34: 633-644, 1977.
- 45. White, F. J. and R. Y. Wang. Comparison of the effects of chronic haloperidol treatment on A9 and A10 dopamine neurons in the rat. *Life Sci* 32: 983–993, 1983.
- 46. Winter, M., E. Lehmann and O. B. Scholz. Effects of high and low dosage of haloperidol on the brain in relation to schizophrenic thought disorder. *Neuropsychobiology* 12: 115-121, 1984.
- Worms, P. and K. G. Lloyd. Predictability and specificity of behavioral screening tests for neuroleptics. *Pharmacol Ther* 5: 445-450, 1979.